Apologetics (CM4)

The Existence of God II

February 20, 2015

Ross Arnold, Winter 2015
Lakeside institute of Theology

Apologetics (CM4)

- Jan. 30 Introduction to Apologetics
- Feb. 6 Reliability of Witnesses
- Feb. 13 The Existence of God
- Feb. 20 The Existence of God 2
- Feb. 27 No Class
- Mar. 6 Creation, Prophesy, Miracles, the Risen Christ
- Mar. 13 Responding to the New Atheists
- Mar. 20 Applying the Principles; Final Exam

Philosophical apologetics – concerns itself primarily with arguments for the existence of God.

- Ontological argument
- Cosmological argument
- Kalam Cosmological argument
- Teleological argument
- Fine-Tuning Teleological Argument
- Moral argument
- Transcendental argument
- Presuppositional arguments
- Reformed Epistemology's argument that belief in God is properly basic

<u>Twenty Arguments For The Existence Of God</u> - Peter Kreeft

- 1. The Argument from Change
- 2. The Argument from Efficient Causality (Cosmological)
- 3. The Argument from Time and Contingency
- 4. The Argument from Degrees of Perfection
- 5. The Teleological (Design) Argument
- 6. The Kalam Cosmological Argument
- 7. The Argument from Contingency
- 8. The Argument from the World as an Interacting Whole
- 9. The Argument from Miracles
- 10. The Argument from Consciousness
- 11. The Argument from Truth
- 12. The Argument from the Origin of the Idea of God
- 13. The Ontological Argument
- 14. The Moral Argument
- 15. The Argument from Conscience
- 16. The Argument from Desire
- 17. The Argument from Aesthetic Experience
- 18. The Argument from Religious Experience
- 19. The Common Consent Argument
- 20. Pascal's Wager

> Presuppositional Arguments

- > Argues that the basic beliefs of theists and nontheists require God as a necessary precondition.
- > The argument goes like this:
- ➤ 1. All people have certain presuppositions on which they base their perceptions, understanding and communication.
- ➤ 2. Persons who insist they do not believe in God still hold and act upon - presuppositions that demand the existence of God (inherent order, freedom, morality, reason, objective existence, etc.).
- ➤ 3. This inconsistency is indefensible unless there really is a God that has established order and underpins all things.
- ≥4. Therefore, there must be a God.

Argument from Freedom

- People insist they are free to choose their own destinies. Yet determinate naturalism provides no basis or option for such freedom. Personal freedom is only consistent with belief in an eternally free and sovereign creator God who has made us in his image, including having the freedom to choose.
- The argument goes like this:
 - People insist that we are being who are free to choose our destinies, rather than victims of determination.
 - Belief in personal freedom is only consistent with theism, never with determinate naturalism.
 - Therefore, God exists.

>The Moral Argument

- Argues that if there are any real objectively valid moral values, then there must be an absolute from which they are derived.
- >The argument goes like this:
- A human experience of morality is observed and is common to all people (or, moral obligation is a fact of human existence).
- God is the best or only explanation for this universal moral experience.
- Therefore, God exists.

>The Transcendental Argument

- >Argues that all our abilities to think and reason require the existence of God.
- >The argument goes like this:
- If there is no god (most often the entity God, defined as the God of the Bible, Yahweh), then knowledge (especially as related to absolute statements of logic) is not possible.
- Knowledge is possible (or some other statement pertaining to logic or morality).
- > Therefore a god exists.

>Argument from Religious Experience

- Suggests that the existence of God is effectively demonstrated by the almost universe fact of religious experience.
- > The argument goes like this:
- Many people of different eras and of widely different cultures claim to have had an experience of the "divine."
- It is inconceivable that so many people could have been so utterly wrong about the nature and content of their own experience.
- Therefore, there exists a "divine" reality which many people of different eras and of widely different cultures have experienced.

Do we even need rational arguments for God's existence?

- Many modern philosophers and scientists maintain the principle of evidentialism – the view that no belief should be held unless one has sufficient evidence for it.
- ➤ There <u>is</u> strong logical evidence for the existence of God but why should belief in God require evidence at all?
- Why can't belief in God be seen as properly basic to our existence – that all people have a "sense of the divine" (as Calvin put it), in the same way that we have visual, auditory and other senses that require no further evidential support?
- ➤ <u>Reformed epistemology</u> proposes exactly that insisting that belief in God is *properly basic* to humanity, and that those who do not have such belief are broken and blinded (by sin).
- While we have good arguments for the existence of God, such arguments are not necessary for rational belief in God.